[3rd November 1931

(3) If by way of punishment a clerk is to be transferred to a distant district the orders of the Government should be obtained before effecting such a transfer.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Local Self-Government)

M. C. B. KOMAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Surgeon-General.

APPENDIX III.

[Vide answer to question No. 566 asked by Mr. A. B. Shetty at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 3rd November 1931, page 497 supra.]

G. O. No. 1084, Public, dated 24th October 1929.

When passing orders on the list of unpassed clerks submitted by the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement, with reference to paragraph 3 (iii) of G.O. No. 773, Law (Education), dated 3rd May 1926, the Government laid down that clerks exempted from Article 1 of the Public Service Notification by the Board under the powers delegated in G.O. No. 722, Law (Education), dated 13th June 1922, could count service for increment from the date of exemption by the Board and that those who had not been exempted before either by the Government or by the Board could count service for increment only from the date of the order admitting them to the lowest time-scale and up to the bar sanctioned in paragraph 3 of G.O. No. 949, Public, dated 5th November 1926.

2. Some unpassed clerks have however been permitted to draw increments irregularly from the date of introduction of the time-scale of pay; they fall under the following classes:—

(i) Clerks exempted for the first time by the Board after the introduction of the time-scale of pay; these should have been started only on the minimum pay in the time-scale on the date of exemption.

(ii) Clerks exempted up to specified limits of pay in the old graded scale and further exempted by the Board in the time-scale; these should have been started in the time scale on the date of such further exemption only on the pay represented by the limit of exemption plus the temporary increase in pay.

(iii) Clerks exempted as in (ii) but permitted to draw pay in the time-scale without further formal exemption; they count service for further increments from the date of the order of Government permitting them to draw pay up to the bar.

In other cases, the Accountant-General or the head of the department has fixed the pay of the unpassed clerks correctly with reference to G.O. No. 164, Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925.

3rd November 1931]

3. The Board of Revenue has submitted several cases of unpassed clerks who have been thus drawing increments irregularly; in some of them the Government have directed that the unpassed clerks may remain on their present pay until starting from the pay they are strictly entitled to and counting service for increments from the date of exemption either by the Board or by the Government as the case may be, they reach the present figure by a normal rise in the scale; they may then draw further increments. Heads of departments seem to be under the impression that every case should be so treated irrespective of merit; e.g., a man whose increment is to be stopped for two years is put up as a fitting recipient of this concession. It was not the intention of Government that every case should be so treated automatically. The concession is not of general application but must be merited in each individual case. Only such clerks as deserve the concession by reason of long and satisfactory service and are fully competent to perform the work demanded of them should be recommended to Government for consideration. The Board must itself specifically recommend any cases it sees fit to forward. No clerk should be recommended for this concession whose pay has already been correctly fixed either by the Accountant-General or by the Board of Revenue with reference to G.O. No. 164, Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925, nor any clerk whose increment has been stopped or who has been otherwise punished for misconduct or inefficiency.

4. The Government also direct that the same principles should be observed in the case of unpassed clerks in a similar position in other departments.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

H. G. STOKES,
Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue and Settlement).
,, Accountant-General.
Copy to other Heads of Departments.
,, the Departments of the Secretariat.

APPENDIX IV.

[Vide answer to question No. 575 asked by Mr. Abdul Hameed Khan at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 3rd November 1931, page 508 supra.]

Proceedings of the Joint Magistrate, Gudur, dated the 8th September 1931 (11 *a.m.*) *at Venkatagiri.*

Order under section 144, Criminal Procedure Code.

Whereas the Circle Inspector of Police, Venkatagiri, has made an application before me for orders under section 144, Criminal Procedure Code, against you Katikineni Kalyana Rao, Katikineni Venkatarama Rao and others, the conveners and supporters of the Zamin Ryots' Conference to be held at Venkatagiri on the 8th and 9th September